## Euripides, Pherecrates, and the Greek Stem βοτρυχ-\*

## Donald J. Mastronarde

At the opening of Antigone's aria in Euripides' *Phoenissae*, most manuscripts present οὐ προκαλυπτομένα βοστρυχώδεος ἁβρὰ παρηίδος (1485-6: "not concealing the soft flesh of my curl-shaded cheek"). In Pollux 2.27, a discussion of terms for curls of hair, it is noted that εὐπλόκαμος and εὐβόστρυχος may be said of a man as well as of a woman, and then a fragment of Pherecrates (202 K-A) is cited for βόστρυχος used of a man: ὡ ξαντοτάτοις βοστρύχοισι κομῶν ("oh, man/boy wearing long hair in very blond curls"). The sense of each passage is what one would expect. Euripides' phrase alludes to the typical corkscrew curls that dangle in front of the ear upon the cheek, in a hairdo characteristic of women, youthful gods and heroes,<sup>1</sup> or effeminate men (Ba. 455-6 πλόκαμος ... ταναός, .../ γένυν παρ' αὐτὴν κεχυμένος, πόθου πλέως).<sup>2</sup> In Pherecrates, the addressee either must have been quite young or, more likely, must have been old enough to have been expected to wear his hair short but have preferred an affected hairstyle that gives an opportunity for mockery on the grounds of effeminacy. ostentation, or Spartan sympathies.<sup>3</sup> Note the disapproval implicit in Pentheus' description of the Lydian stranger as ξανθοΐσι βοστρύχοισιν εὕοσμον [or εύόσμοις Badham] κομῶν [Dodds: κόμην LP] (Ba. 235).4 On the other hand,

<sup>4</sup> The form of the last two words is uncertain (Diggle will print εὕοσμος κόμην), but my point is not affected by the particular choice of reading.

<sup>\*</sup> It is a pleasure to dedicate this note in friendship and respect to Ra'anana Meridor, with whom I became acquainted during her sojourn in Berkeley in the first half of 1992. This note is a byway that I could not explore in detail in my note on *Phoenissae* 1485, forthcoming in *Euripides, Phoenissae. Cambridge Classical Texts and Commentaries* (Cambridge 1994). In researching it, I have benefitted from text data licensed from the *Thesaurus Linguae Graecae*, using both UNIX-based search programs and Pandora with TLG CD-ROM version C.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See, for instance, Achilles in E. Simon, *Die griechischen Vasen* (Munich 1976), plates 147 and XLIII.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Pollux reports in 2.28 that such curls are also called κίκιννοι or παρωτίδες.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Cf. MacDowell on Wasps 466.

although the sense is appropriate in both passages, in both the syllable  $\beta_{00TP}$ creates metrical difficulty: the opening of Antigone's aria is otherwise straightforwardly dactylic, and the fragment of Pherecrates is hard to analyze as it stands,<sup>5</sup> though its opening appears to be anapaestic and one could readily imagine such an address in the anapaestic tetrameter *katakeleusmos* of a comic agon.

Porson saw that dactyls could be restored in Phoen. 1485 by emending to βοτρυώδεος on the basis of the rare reading βοτρυχώδεος that he found in the manuscript Za (his siglum R).<sup>6</sup> Although he did not print this in his text (1799). this solution was adopted by Burges (1809 ed.). Seidler (De versibus dochmiacis II [1812], 342), and for a time by Hermann,<sup>7</sup> all in the belief that the aria should be extensively emended to produce short strophes in responsion. βοτρυώδεος was also printed by G. Dindorf in 1832, without any belief in responsion. In 1834, however, Theodore Bergk<sup>8</sup> suggested the emendation βοτρύχοισι in Pherecrates, and in his 1841 edition of Phoen. Hermann accepted the reading βοτρυχώδεος from Za, citing Bergk's emendation of Pherecrates and the entry of the Etymologicum Magnum that derives Bóotpuxoc from Bótpuc (205. 32ff. Gaisford): βόστρυχος ... παρὰ τὸν βότρυν, βότρυχος καὶ βόστρυχος, ό βοτρυσειδής. μετὰ σχήματος γὰρ βοτρυσειδοῦς ἀπήρτηται ("from βότρυς derive βότρυχος and βόστρυχος, <the hair> that is cluster-shaped. For <the curl> is suspended in a form that is cluster-like"); this claim is supported by the immediately following quotation of Ap. Rh. 2.677, πλοχμοί βοτρυόεντες  $\kappa \tau \lambda$ . (discussed below) — the same phrase cited by Porson in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> An ionic interpretation would be just barely possible with βοστρύχοις for -οισι: io<sub>λ</sub> (with contracted biceps), io, ia.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> For more on the attestation of this form, see the apparatus of my Teubner edition (Leipzig 1988). I should there have credited Burges, not Dindorf, with adopting βοτρ- and Hermann for adopting βοτρυχ-.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Cf. Elementa doctrinae metricae (Leipzig 1816), 774-6; Epitome doctrinae metricae (Leipzig 1818), §729; probably already in De usu antistrophicorum in Graecorum tragoediis (Leipzig 1810), but I am unable to verify this.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Anacreontis carminum reliquiae ed. Th. Bergk (Leipzig 1834), 255. Bergk makes the emendation in the context of noting that Pherecrates was more inclined than other writers of Old Comedy to use peculiar forms; he does not specify the reasons for the change, remarking only hanc formam cum alia commendant tum Alciphronis verba etc. (quoting Alciphron, Epist. 4.13.17 Schepers, with the reading βοτρύχοις ἐμφερεῖς; but Bergk was citing a corrupt manuscript, whereas Schepers' authoritative Teubner edition of 1905 has βοστρύχοις and notes no variant in the apparatus). Without a specific citation Bergk adds eademque [forma] restituenda est Euripidi.

support of emending to βοτρυώδεος in *Phoen*. 1485.<sup>9</sup> The odd byform βοτρυχώδεος has appeared in editions of *Phoen*. ever since.<sup>10</sup> And βοτρύχοισι was first printed in Pherecrates by Meineke in 1839 (II: 1.355, fr. fab. inc. 67), and then by Kock (fr. 189) and now by Kassel and Austin (fr. 202). The two rare byforms have appeared to defend each other.<sup>11</sup> If these forms are genuine, they are by far the earliest evidence of interference or confusion between the stems βοστρυχ- and βοτρυ-. If one hesitates to accept the odd forms,<sup>12</sup> other solutions to the metrical problems of the two passages will have to be weighed.

βόστρυχος is attested only in poetry (including comedy) in archaic and classical authors, except for Aristotle's use of it to refer to a winged insect at *HA* 551b26.<sup>13</sup> With the Septuagint and Dionysius of Halicarnassus it begins to appear in surviving prose as well as in poetry. Its primary meaning is a curling lock of hair, and words from this root imply a helical or corkscrew or zigzag shape, as associations with  $\xi\lambda_1\xi$  and  $\xi\lambda_1\sigma\omega$  indicate. Thus in *Prometheus* 1044 the lightning bolt is metaphorically described as πυρὸς ἀμφήκης βόστρυχος, while later in the passage, at 1083, we find ἕλικες ... στεροπῆς διαπύροι. The famous description of the letters of Theseus' name by an illiterate reporter is instructive: sigma is like βόστρυχός τις ... είλιγμένος (Eur. fr. 382.7; imitated

<sup>10</sup> In addition, *Phoen.* 1485-6 is generally treated as a hexameter by writing  $\pi\alpha\rho_{1}^{2}\delta\sigma_{2}$  for  $\pi\alpha\rho_{1}^{2}\delta\sigma_{2}$ , with Hartung.

- <sup>11</sup> Dindorf introduced the same phenomenon in a third passage by his emendation of Or. 1267 (διὰ βοτρύχων), but editors have correctly preferred Triclinius' transposition, which places the normal form βοστρύχων at the end of the dochmiac line. James Diggle reminds me that the apparent reading of L before correction at Eur. Orestes 1427 is βότρυχον: cf. his The Textual Tradition of Euripides' Orestes (Oxford 1991), 68 n. 5.
- <sup>12</sup> In private communication, James Diggle has mentioned to me that he does not find the restoration of anapaests in Pherecrates inevitable (for instance, the quotation may be incomplete, and the line may have been a trimeter with anceps-short-long missing between ξανθοτάτοις and βοστρύχοις). He has now kindly informed me that he will print βοτρυώδεος in *Phoen*. 1485 in his forthcoming OCT vol. 3.
- <sup>13</sup> In addition, τὸ τῶν βοστρύχων is transmitted at HA 550b10, but emendation is necessary: see note 16 below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Hermann read this entry in the edition of Sylburg (Leipzig 1816), where it is essentially the same as in Gaisford. I have examined the entry βόστρυχος in microfilms of codd. A and B of the Etymologicum Genuinum, and their differences from Gaisford's text of Et. Magn. do not affect this discussion. Both have παρὰ βότρυχος καὶ βόστρυχος, which is clearly a corruption of παρὰ βότρυν βότρυχος κτλ. See G. Berger, Etymologicum Genuinum et Etymologicum Symeonis (β). Beiträge zur klassischen Philologie 45 (Meisenheim am Glan 1972), 101. On the similar entry in Et. Gud. see note 22 below.

by Theodectas TrGF 72 F 6.4 ἑλικτῶι βοστρύχωι προσεμφερές). Later, Lucian, Hist. Conscr. 19 pairs ἑλικηδὸν καὶ βοστρυχηδόν in a description of the Gorgon's serpents shown on a shield; Achilles Tatius 1.19.1 writes αἱ δὲ κόμαι βοστρυχούμεναι μᾶλλον εἰλίττοντο κιττοῦ; Galen 2.900 Kühn speaks of blood vessels at the ovary that βοστρυχοειδῶς ἑλίσσεται; finally, Nonnus, Dionysiaca 16.15 produces ἑλικώδεα βόστρυχα χαίτης (using the heteroclite plural affected by him and Paulus Silentarius).

The diminutive form  $\beta_{00} = \rho_0 \chi_{10} v$  does have botanical application, and in connection with the grape-plant,  $\beta_{0} = \rho_{0} \zeta$ , in particular. It is the twisted or spiraling inflorescence of the future grape cluster.<sup>15</sup> The word is thus used in Aristot. *HA* 544a9 and 549b33 (in both places comparing the egg mass of the octopus<sup>16</sup> to the twisted inflorescence of the grape or the fruit of the white poplar) and Theophrastus, *CP* 3.16.1. Both LSJ and Chantraine translate  $\beta_{00} = \rho_0 \chi_{10} v$  in the Aristotle passages as "tendrils", that is, the unfruited helical

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> For an illustration see Encyclopaedia Britannica (1973 ed.) s.v. pepper.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Another sign of overlap in the Greek view between curl and cluster is the use of έλιξ. It may refer both to curls of hair (in post-classical poets: see LSJ s.v. III.3) and to the grape cluster: in Arist. Frogs 1320-1 οἰνάνθας γάνος ἀμπέλου βότρυος ἕλικα παυσίπονον, ἕλικα is not "tendril" (the οἰνάνθη or βότρυς has no tendril, and tendrils do not bring surcease of pain), but "spiraling cone".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> For an illustration showing a multitude of egg clusters dangling from above in a female octopus' lair, see *Encyclopaedia Britannica* (1973 ed.) s.v. octopus. There is possibly a third instance of βοστρύχιον in Aristotle: at HA 550b10, a description of the eggs of sepia/cuttlefish, it seems clear that olov τὸ τῶν βοστρύχων cannot stand; Wimmer emended to olov τι βοστρύχιον, while Peck gives τὸ τῶν βατράχων, comparing Aristotle's statement at 568a23ff.

growths by which the vine clings to something (normally in Greek  $\xi\lambda_{1}\kappa_{\xi\zeta}$ ). Aristotle, however, uses the phrase  $\beta_{0}\sigma_{T}\rho_{U}\chi_{1}\sigma_{\zeta}$  oiv $\alpha\nu\theta_{0}\varsigma$  (with defining genitive; the inflorescence does not itself have tendrils), and Theophrastus, in describing the process of nipping buds of the vine, notes how the  $\beta_{0}\sigma_{T}\rho_{U}\chi_{1}\sigma_{V}$  grows before the grapes themselves, then loses its blooms ( $\dot{\alpha}\pi\alpha\nu\theta_{0}\sigma_{1}$ ) and sets, whereupon the grapes begin to grow. The word thus continues to apply to the branching little twigs that form the backbone and support of the grape cluster as it grows, and it is this sense that is evident in the entry in Hesychius (b 848) where  $\beta_{0}\sigma_{T}\rho_{U}\chi_{1}\alpha$  is glossed by  $\sigma_{T}\epsilon_{\mu}\phi_{U}\lambda_{\alpha}$ , the mass of pressed grapes still attached to the little branches of the cluster; and from this sense comes  $\beta_{0}\sigma_{T}\rho_{U}\chi_{1}\tau_{0}\varsigma$  (Aetius, *latrica* 15.22) as equivalent of  $\sigma_{T}\epsilon_{\mu}\phi_{U}\lambda_{1}\tau_{0}\varsigma$ , "wine from pressed grapes" (cf. French *marc*).

Whereas the uses of  $\beta o \sigma \tau \rho v x^{-}$  all seem to point to associations with a vertical structure that curls or spirals or zigzags, βότρυς and its derivatives have mainly to do with an appearance of clumping and clustering. Botpubóv is already found in *II*. 2.89 of bees flying in a cluster; later Athenaeus 7.316c, paraphrasing Aristotle (fr. 334 Rose), uses it of the egg cluster of the octopus; Theophrastus, HP 3.16, applies it to the flower cluster of the strawberry tree (κόμαρος). In botanical and medical works βότρυς, βότρυον, βοτρύδιον, βοτρυδόν, βοτρυώδης, βοτρυοειδής, and βοτρυηρός are used of clusters of blooms or of fruit of various plants (sweet bay, sorb, palm, elder, sumach, carob, dropwort, blite, smilax, etc.). The root also appears in Botpuitic, a form of the mineral καδμεία, calamine, that grows in irregular bunches rather than in flat layers (πλακίτις). The intersection of βοστρύχιον and βοτρυδόν in reference to egg clusters is significant, as is Dioscorides' use of βότρυας (de materia medica 2.159.1) for the fruit of the pepper tree (called Bóotpuyoc in Philostratus). Furthermore, the root Botou- again comes close to a use of  $\beta_{00}$  when it is applied to the catkins (scaly clusters of male flowers) of the filbert that emerge after the loss of the fruit: Theophrastus, HP 3.5.5, refers to this as τὸ βοτρυῶδες, and notes that many of these clustering growths come from a single stalk and are called iouxoi (another hair word). Thus, although the primary associations of BOOTPUX- and BOTPU- are different, there is some evidence of overlap in the technical uses.

In non-technical writing, the connections of the root βοτρυ- (without  $\chi$ ) with hair is first seen in Hellenistic poetry. The earliest extant example is the one cited in *Et. Gen. / Et. Magn.*, Apollonius 2.676-7 (epiphany of Apollo): χρύσειοι δὲ παρειάων ἐκάτερθεν / πλοχμοὶ βοτρυόεντες ἐπερρώοντο κιόντι. E. Delage, in the Budé translation, maintains the connection with the grape cluster, rendering "des deux côtés de ses joues, les grappes d'or de ses boucles oscillaient dans sa marche"; cf. LSJ's definition "clustering" and

20

Seaton's Loeb translation "his golden locks flowed in clusters". Whereas  $\beta \delta \sigma \tau \rho v \chi o \zeta$  may refer to a corkscrew curl that falls along the cheek in front of the ear, Apollonius seems to evoke by βοτρυόεντες a number of such βόστουχοι closely massed and perhaps producing a tapered and clumped appearance, like a grape cluster; yet he still refers to the curls on the cheek and not to the mass of curls over the whole head. This usage of BOTOU- is not imitated until late antiquity. Nonnus has the exact words πλοχμούς βοτρυόεντας in Dionysiaca 34.308, although we cannot be certain whether he means only the cheek-curls or refers to the appearance of the hairdo as a whole, in which curls in a mass give the appearance of a mature grape cluster.<sup>17</sup> In addition, in Nonnus  $\beta \delta \tau \rho \nu \nu \epsilon \theta \epsilon \rho c$  is a formulaic verse-ending (1.528, 11.444, and 7 other places; also nominative βότρυς έθ. in 24.195; cf. Christodorus, Anth. Gr. 2.1.91, 325; Agathias, Anth. Gr. 5.287.6). In several of these passages the word seems to be simply a synonym of Bóotpuxoc and not to imply a mass of curls: thus Nonnus, Dionys. 25.162 uses βότρυν έθείρης, but βόστρυχον in 164 refers to the same lock. Indeed, in Nonnus, an alternative verse-ending formula is βόστρυχα χαίτης (6.7, 10.174, and 7 other places). The equivalence of βόστρυχος and βότρυς for Nonnus is possibly attested also by 2.197 πλοκάμους πλεκτοίο πυρός βοτρυδόν έλίξας (Rouse: "the comet twined in clusters the long strands of his woven flame"; but Nonnus may have meant "in curls" as much as "in clusters"). Finally, late authors use the two forms in rhetorical play: Nonnus, Dionysiaca 12.179 (metamorphosis of the boy Ampelos into the plant) βόστρυχα βότρυες ήσαν; Eust. Macremb. 1.4.1 αί άμπελοι βοστρυχούνται βότρυσι.

Another association of  $\beta \circ \tau \rho \upsilon$ - with hair is more uncertain, since it seems to refer to the wearing of actual fruit clusters as wreaths in the hair. When  $\beta \circ \tau \rho \upsilon \circ \chi \alpha i \tau \cap \varsigma$  is used as an epithet of Dionysus (*Anth. Gr.* 9.524.3, an anonymous late alphabetic hymn), the meaning is possibly "wearing grape clusters in the hair" rather than "with clustering curls," for in late Dionysiac iconography a real cluster of grapes is sometimes worn in the hair: cf. Lucian, *Bacchus* 2  $\beta \circ \tau \rho \upsilon \circ \iota \varsigma \delta \circ \tau \varepsilon \phi \alpha \nu \omega \rho \varepsilon \vee \iota^{18}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Cf. in classical vase-painting such depictions of curls as in Simon (above, note 1), plates 176 and 181 (top).

E.g., F. Matz, Die Dionysischen Sarkophage. Die antiken Sarkophagenreliefs IV, plate 321. One cannot always be sure, however, whether these are grapes or ivy-berries: cf. Meleager, Anth. Gr. 9.363.12 (farmers in springtime hail Dionysus) ἄνθεϊ βοτρυόεντος ἐρεψάμενοι τρίχα κισσοῦ; Sen. Oed. 411-5 te decet ... / hederave mollem / bacifera religare frontem. In Archytas, fr. 1 (Collectanea Alexandrina p. 23 Powell), βοτρυοστέφανον, "crowned with grape clusters," may evoke the same image or may be entirely metaphorical ("famous for excellent grapes"). For grape clusters for hair, cf. Lucian, VH 1.8

## EURIPIDES, PHERECRATES, AND THE GREEK STEM BOTPUX-

22

There is ample evidence, then, that from the late fourth century on there were overlaps in the use of BOTOU- and BOTOUX-. Rightly or wrongly, this led to the view that the words were actually etymologically related, as the doctrine of Et. Gen. / Et. Magn. states. Possibly Apollonius was already relying on such a learned hypothesis when he coined πλογμοι βοτουόεντες; but surely by the time of Nonnus, the theory was well-known and is in fact reflected in his interchangeable use of the roots. With this much established, it is time to ask whether a real etymological connection is possible and whether what is later true of Botou- may be taken to confirm the notion that Botouy- is plausible in Euripides and Pherecrates, Chantraine and Frisk both express caution about proposed Indo-European cognates.<sup>19</sup> Pokorny.<sup>20</sup> for instance, connects Bootouywith a root gues-, guos-, gus- meaning "foliage," "branches" (cf. German die *Quaste*): in most languages the root is extended with d, extension with t is cited only from Greek, and extension with p from three languages, one of which is Latin (vespices). On this view, the botanical application of Bootouy- is primary and association with hair secondary: thus, H. Güntert<sup>21</sup> explains Bórouyoc as formed by rhyming composition resulting from the close association of  $\beta \delta \sigma \tau \rho \nu \chi \rho c =$  "foliage" and  $\beta \delta \tau \rho \nu c$ , and he speculates that the sense "hair" may have resulted from the similarity of the second syllable to the root TOIX-.22 Güntert erred, however, in suggesting that Bootouy- is ever actually used in extant Greek to refer to the foliage of a tree, and the extant Greek evidence seems to imply the reverse development — that for Bóotpuyoc "curl of hair" was primary, the botanical application secondary. The x of Bóotpuxoc is possibly a nominal suffix in its own right (Schwyzer I.498), with no debt to TPIX- either for formation or for meaning. Thus the etymological material is all too speculative to be of much help. It is simpler to believe in an interference of two similar stems with each other, such as both Frisk and Chantraine contemplate.

<sup>21</sup> Über Reimwortbildungen im Arischen und Altgriechischen (Heidelberg 1914), 148-9.

<sup>(</sup>of vines that are half women) και τὰς κεφαλὰς ἐκόμων ἕλιξί τε και φύλλοις και βότρυσι.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> P. Chantraine, Dictionnaire étymologique de la langue grecque. Histoire des mots (Paris 1968-80), 187; H. Frisk, Griechisches etymologisches Wörterbuch (Heidelberg 1954-1972), 254-5 (with further refs.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> J. Pokorny, Indogermanisches etymologisches Wörterbuch I (Bern and Munich 1959-1969), 480.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> In the Etymologicum Gudianum (ed. De Stefani, Leipzig 1909), we find the entries βόστρυχοι· οἶον βότρυχοι οἰ μετὰ σχήματος βοτρυ(ο)ειδοῦς ἀποκρεμάμενοι τρίχες and βόστρυχος· ὁ πλόκαμος· παρὰ τὸν βότρυν (a conflation of these entries appears in Sturz's ed. of 1818, but with correct gender αἰ ...-μεναι τρίχες). The use of τρίχες in the gloss may indicate that the originator of this explanation saw a combination of βοτρυ- and τριχ- in the word.

23

As for  $\beta_{0,\tau}$ , with the added x, the origin of the x is likewise uncertain and the attestations are rather shaky. Can either of the two meanings, "hair" and "peduncle" (Latin pediculus, the main stem of the grape cluster; that is, the central part of the Bootouxiov), be firmly established, and is one earlier than the other, or are the two unrelated? That is, is  $\beta \delta \tau \rho v \chi \rho c c =$  "hair" derived from βόστρυχος, but βότρυχος = "peduncle" derived separately from βοτρυ- with xas a nominal suffix used without reference to Bootoux-? As for attestations, the only sure one in the sense "hair" is from the tradition of the Etymologica, where the linking of Bótpuxoc και βόστρυχος with και implies that the two words are synonymous. This citation of Bótpuxoc might be evidence of earlier and valid knowledge of the use of this form in Greek texts, or it might be an invented intermediary stage to support the derivation from Botpuc. Such invention is not uncharacteristic of ancient practice, and it is worrying that Botpuxoc is not cited from any texts or found in any of the lexica as a rare form needing explanation. On the other hand, βότρυχ- would very readily have yielded at an early stage to the more familiar form, and this may explain its absence from lexica. For the other meaning, LSJ cites Galen, de alimentorum facultatibus 2.9.7 = 6.577, 1 Kühn, where (from the Aldine edition) Bótpuxoc was read in the sense "peduncle". In this passage, Galen distinguishes between his use of the term στέμφυλον and that of the wine-growers themselves: he applies  $\sigma \tau \epsilon \mu \phi \nu \lambda o \nu$  to the whole crushed cluster, while they apply it to the peduncle in particular (τὴν δὲ τοῦ στεμφύλου προσηγορίαν ἐπιφέρουσιν αὐτοὶ τῶι τῶν κλημάτων ἐκπεφυκότι ῥιζώματι τῶν ῥαγῶν). At this point the Aldine text and Kühn have τοῦτο δ' ἡμεῖς βότρυχον καλοῦμεν, ὅθεν ἐξήρτηνται αἰ bayάδες. But in the latest edition, Corpus Medicorum Graecorum 5:4:2 (ed. Helmreich, 1923), this sentence is bracketed as a gloss, since it is omitted in the 6th-century ms W (the best witness, free of many interpolations found in the mss of Palaeologan era). Helmreich also restores the mss reading βοτρυούχου for the Aldine's βότρυχον (and prefers the reading αι ράγες). Yet it is possible that the emenda-tion of the Aldine text is correct, since  $\beta \delta \tau \rho v \chi \rho c =$  "peduncle" apparently occurs in another medical text: Aetius, *Iatrica* 8,30 (CMG 8:2.441, 19-23 Olivieri), Πρός τὸ μύλας ἀσήπτους καὶ ἀκινήτους διαφυλάξαι. βρυωνίας της λευκής τών βοτρύχων χυλού λι' α μορέας φλοιού της ρίζης Γο 5 έψε είς τὸ ήμισυ καὶ δίδου θερμαίνειν καὶ διακρατείν ἐν τῶι στόματι έπὶ ἡμέρας ζ καὶ ὁ τούτωι χρώμενος οὐδέποτε πονέσει ὀδόντας ("For keeping the molars free of decay or looseness: one *litra* of a decoction of the peduncles of white bryony, 6 *unciae* of the bark of the root of the mulberry, boil down to half the volume and give it to be warmed up and held in the mouth for 7 days and the patient who uses this will never have toothache").<sup>23</sup> Finally,  $\beta \delta \tau \rho \nu \chi \rho \zeta$  is found in a list of words with x-suffix in Herodian, *de pros. cath.* (*Gramm. Gr.* 3:1.226, 19),  $\eta \sigma \nu \chi \rho \zeta$ ,  $\beta \delta \tau \rho \nu \chi \rho \zeta$ ,  $\beta \delta \sigma \tau \rho \nu \chi \rho \zeta$ ,  $\kappa \tau \lambda$ .; but this passage is actually supplied by the editor from Theognostus, Cramer *Anec. Gr.* 2.76, 29, where  $\beta \delta \rho \nu \chi \rho \zeta$  is read — it was Meineke, in his note on the fragment of Pherecrates, who proposed that this unknown word be emended to  $\beta \delta \tau \rho \nu \chi \rho \zeta$ . Even if  $\beta \delta \tau \rho \nu \chi \rho \zeta$  is correct in this grammarian's list, we do not know whether the author understood the word to mean "curl of hair" or "peduncle".

In this mass of uncertainties, I think that it is more probable than not that the word  $\beta \delta \tau \rho \nu \chi o \zeta$  did exist in the sense "peduncle". As an equivalent of  $\beta \delta \sigma \tau \rho \nu \chi o \zeta$ ,  $\beta \delta \tau \rho \nu \chi o \zeta$  is more uncertain, but on the whole I prefer to believe that there really was an interference of the stems  $\beta \sigma \tau \rho \nu^-$  and  $\beta \sigma \sigma \tau \rho \nu \chi^-$  in the popular mind already in the fifth century and that some poet used  $\beta \delta \tau \rho \nu \chi o \zeta$  in the sense "hair" for metrical convenience, perhaps already alluding to the tapering cluster-shape of dangling curls as Apollonius did later in  $\pi \lambda o \chi \mu o i \beta \sigma \tau \rho \nu \delta \varepsilon \tau \tau \epsilon \zeta$ . Pherecrates' use of this form would then be a mocking appropriation of high style (and this is consonant with the similarity of his line to *Ba*. 235), and Euripides would be taking the coinage one step farther by forming the compound  $\beta \sigma \tau \rho \nu \chi \omega \delta_{\eta \zeta}$ . The latter would easily have been corrupted to  $\beta \sigma \sigma \tau \rho \nu \chi \omega \delta_{-}$ , and this is apparently the form in which *Phoen*. 1485 was known to the 4th- or 3rdcentury wit Charmus of Syracuse, whose travesty of the line is quoted in Athenaeus 1.4b.<sup>24</sup> If we conclude, on the other hand, that  $\beta \delta \tau \rho \nu \chi o \zeta =$  "hair" is a ghost-word and that Euripides really wrote  $\beta \sigma \tau \rho \nu \omega \delta \epsilon \sigma \zeta^{25}$  anticipating precisely

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> This is my own translation. White bryony, also ἄμπελος λευκή, has for fruit a cluster of berries (βοτρυώδης καρπός), which Galen (11.826-7 Kühn) says are useful to tanners. We cannot, of course, be very confident of the soundness of Aetius' text. If this is the same bryony described in modern encyclopedias, then the berries are in fact poisonous (the root even more so), so it would not be helpful to emend to τῶν βοτρύων χυλοῦ and interpret χυλοῦ as "juice". For "decoction", cf. LSJ s.v. I.1b. One could also consider emending to βοστρυχίων.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> βοστρυχώδης is also attested in Philostratus, VA 3.8.3 (of the curly beard of a dragon), which is also quoted in Photius' summary (*Bibl.* cod. 241, 326a, 31); Philostr. VS 2.5.1 (of a handsome man's beard); Hesychius β851 is restored by Alberti as βοστρυχώδες [βοστρυχηνδες H]: τό {θάλπον ἢ} θάλλον; in addition, *Phoen.* 1485 is cited by Eustathius, In Od. 1420, 65. The form βοστρυχοειδώς is found only in Galen, 2.900 Kühn (cited earlier in this paper).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> βοτρυώδης is found in prose in Theophrastus and Galen (Dioscorides and Paulus Aegineta have βοτρυσειδής) and is extant in poetry only in Euripides, Ba. 12 (ἀμπέλου) βοτρυώδει χλόηι ("cluster-bearing green growth of the vine") and Ba. 534-5 τὰν βοτρυώδη Διονύσου χάριν σἴνας ("the cluster-rich gift of Dionysus' grape-vine").

(as Porson suggested) the imagery of Apollonius' πλοχμοί βοτρυόεντες, it is more difficult to explain why this was so soon corrupted to βοστρυχώδεος. Likewise, it is not really more attractive to restore reasonable meter in Pherecrates 202 K-A by some other change, such as βοστρύχοις for βοστρύχοισι (ionic)<sup>26</sup> or πλοκάμοισι for βοστρύχοισι (anapaests; but πλόκαμος would be expected to replace βόστρυχος as a gloss [*cf.* Hesychius β 850] rather than *vice versa*).

University of California, Berkeley